

Open letter to the General Secretary of the United Nations and Security Council

September 19, 2008

Re: "Little Green Men Uniting the Planet"

Dear Mr. Secretary General:

Please, allow me to ask you to save all nations from the danger of "Planetocaust". This request sounds bombastic and irrational - like talking of an invasion by little green men that suddenly unites the planet in a last-ditch effort.

Exactly such a global all-or-nothing situation has apparently arisen. If a single person - the Secretary of the United Nations - does not take the situation into his own hands, the planet will sleep through the critical phase of about 4 remaining weeks so both its future and its past will be wiped out with a sizable finite probability.

How can I get your attention? At first sight, this looks easy since most earthlings have been informed about the "crazy scientist" who claims that a certain mega-experiment can cause the planet to be swallowed by a black hole in a short time. You may also have heard of the 16-year-old girl who died in the wake of this global news.

You may also have heard, however, that all I have been asking for is a small safety conference, held by independent scientists in time, that would shield the public from being torn prematurely into a potentially pointless fright. There is still time for this conference to be convened before the high-energy collisions between protons begin at CERN in the Large Hadron Collider experiment next month.

I clearly do not have much space left to brief you on the decisive details. So let me call it all the "three theorems dilemma". For there are 3 hard results on the table but no one addresses them.

CERN rather speaks of "interpretation" and claims that the latter has been shown to be baseless by eminent scholars who admittedly never spoke to me.

What the public is kept in the dark about by CERN is the fact that theorems never say "please, check whether you like me" but rather: "please, find a disproof if you can". This is why science is based on hard facts rather than opinion polls. CERN certainly soothes the fears of the world's public in this fashion, but it also misleads it about the situation on hand.

The three theorems are: 1) nonevaporation; 2) exponential growth inside the earth; 3) immunity of neutron stars to fast natural analogs. The addressee in each case are the miniblack holes that CERN hopes to generate amongst other marvels in the experiment.

The public is the most soothed by CERN's ever-repeated assertion that the experiment cannot carry any risk since NATURE has made the same experiment innumerable many times over the last 13 billion years - for example in the earth's atmosphere and on the surface of neutron stars (the densest material bodies of the universe). So if the latter are still there, there is no reason to be afraid for earthlings.

This soothing assertion flies in the face of theorem 3, which is known to CERN since May. The latter can only be tested by bombarding superfluid helium with fast miniparticles in comparison to ordinary liquid helium - an experiment that is in planning jointly with a CERN scientist, because only CERN has sufficiently large resources of superfluid helium. Nevertheless, the same CERN scientist who concocted this experiment jointly with me on last July the fourth, pretends publicly that nature had already spoken.

Why do CERN and its allies in German institutes deliberately mislead the public? This is a question no member of the world's contingent of journalists has so far been able to wrestle an answer about it from CERN.

Of course, everyone hopes that they indeed do represent a new form of "collective intelligence" made up from almost 10.000 highly educated and motivated scientists as this is frequently claimed. As soon as any of my three theorems has been successfully disproved, I will wholeheartedly revert to this common belief. But as long as the continued existence of every atom on this planet hinges on the question of CERN omniscience having a positive answer, I prefer to hedge my bets a little bit longer.

This caution is made more convincing by CERN's taking refuge to mudslinging ("complete nonsense", "crazy scientist") on the part of its highest representatives, Drs. Aymar, Evans, Ellis. This fact stands in sto their never mentioning other global scientists who independently and first came up with analogous results as I did (Vladimir A. Belinski ranking foremost among them).

This finishes my pledge to you: Please, forgive me that I turned to the highest panel on the planet, chaired by you, dear General Secretary Ban Ki-Moon. My excuse is that all other moral world leaders (pope, emperor, queen, dalai, helmsman, presidential hopeful) have so far had more important things to do. They will probably tell you to remain inactive, too. Only your own tribunal has the moral authority to act.

All you need do is utter a whisper: "Please, everyone, do allow that little safety conference to take place in time if an accepted member of the scientific community claims to have security-relevant undisproved theorems. Even if so only to spare the planetary public unnecessary frights." Then everybody far and close will congratulate you on your Salomonic way of having handled the problem of how to deal with a tenacious crackpot.

Both you and the cyber-educated youth of your country are my last hope - and I presume not only mine.

Take care,

Sinceley yours,

Otto E. Rossler, chaos theorist